



Scholars Research Library

Annals of Biological Research, 2011, 2 (5) :610-614
(<http://scholarsresearchlibrary.com/archive.html>)



Echinacea purpura and Carumcopticum extract improve performance, carcass quality but not effect on blood parameters and Immune System in broiler chicken

¹Mahmoud Pooryousef Myandoab* and ²Navid Hosseini Mansoub

¹Department of Agronomy, Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahabad, Iran

²Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahabad, Iran

ABSTRACT

The research was conducted to examine the effects of supplementation dietary Echinacea purpura and Carumcopticum extract medicinal plant on performance, blood biochemical and immunity parameters of broiler chickens. 240 Ross broilers (one days of age) were used. The broiler were randomly allocated to 4 groups in 12 pens containing 20 birds each and assigned to receive one of 4 dietary treatments for 42 days (P1, First group as control group did not receive any herbal planet oil, P2, 200 ppm of Echinacea purpura extract, P3, 200 ppm Carumcopticum extract, P4, 200 ppm of both herbal planets oil.). The lowest and the highest feed conversion rates were respectively related to Echinacea purpura and control group ($P < 0.05$). The highest amount of daily feed intake was observed in the group4 and the lowest group was observed in control group also Carcass yield in 4 and 2 groups was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than in P1. Significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher breast percentage in group 4 and the lowest percentage of abdominal fat was in the 3 group. The results showed that using Echinacea purpura and Carumcopticum extract in their diet had significant effects on performance, carcass traits ($p > 0.05$) but there is no effect on blood biochemical parameters and immune system of broiler chickens ($p > 0.05$).

Keywords: Broilers, Carcass traits, Echinacea purpura, Carumcopticum.

INTRODUCTION

Nutrients from plants by products are perhaps the most naturally abundant and the cheapest potential source of feeds. Natural resources are available for the synthesis and polymerization of glucose into less mobile forms and stored such as in plant. The antimicrobial activity of essential oils derived from spices and herbs [1-2] is of interest as these oils could be used as feed additives alternative to antibiotics [3] The positive effect of herbal plants on broilers have been reported by many studies. Their antibacterial potential, hypocholesterolemic effects, growth promoting and availability are the most beneficial part of herbs, which have drawn the scientists attention themselves [4]. There is need to find more efficient alternatives or combinations of different

alternatives for maintaining health and improving performance of poultry and other livestock species. Phytochemical compounds are the groups of feed additives that have been reported to possess a potential for growth enhancement of livestock species due to presence of a number of pharmacologically active substances. They are supposed to enhance feed intake, activate digestive enzymes and stimulate immune function [5]. There are a lot of reports indicating the positive effects of herbs like anti-coccidial, anti-oxidant, anti-fungi and etc. Some of the medical effects of herbs are related to their secondary metabolites such as phenols, necessary oils, saponins and etc. Aromatic plants and essential oil extracted from these plants have been used as alternatives to antibiotics. For this reason, these plants are becoming more important due to their antimicrobial effects and the stimulating effect on animal digestive system [6]. Beneficial effects of herbal extracts or active substances in animal nutrition may include the stimulation of appetite and feed intake, the improvement of endogenous digestive enzyme secretion, activation of immune response and antibacterial, antiviral, antioxidant and antihelminthic actions. Isoprene derivatives, flavonoids, glucosinolates and other plant metabolites may affect the physiological and chemical function of the digestive tract. The stabilizing effect on intestinal microflora may be associated with intermediate nutrient metabolism [7-8]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

240 Ross broilers (one day of age) were used. The broilers were randomly allocated to 4 groups in 12 pens containing 20 birds each and assigned to receive one of 4 dietary treatments for 42 days (P1, First group as control group did not receive any herbal plant oil, P2, 200 ppm of *Echinacea purpurea* extract, P3, 200 ppm *Carum copticum* extract, P4, 200 ppm of both herbal plants oil.). During days 0-42, unbound water and dietary was in poultry's access. Dietary and chick weight were going on weekly. Feed consumed was recorded daily, the uneaten discarded, and feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated (total feed : total gain). At the end of experiment, some analyses were done via SAS (Statistical Analysis Software) in the statistical level of 5% according to data gathered from dietary, weight improvement, average of FCR, weight of rearing period and carcass yield. At 42 days of age, four birds per replicate were randomly chosen, slaughtered and carcass percent to live weight and percent of carcass parts to carcass weight were calculated.

In the 35th day of experiment, three chicks were chosen from each group and inoculated from brachial vein by 0.1 ml (5 %). Heterophil to Lymphocytes ratio were determined which had been obtained from brachial vein of three randomly chosen chicks from each group in the 42th day of experiment. Blood samples were obtained from brachial vein and centrifuged in order to get serum, after 12 hours of fasting in the 42th day of experiment. In the 35th day of experiment, three chicks were chosen from each group and inoculated from brachial vein by 0.1 ml (5 %). Heterophil to Lymphocytes ratio were determined which had been obtained from brachial vein of three randomly chosen chicks from each group in the 42th day of experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the period of 0-42 days, The lowest and the highest feed conversion rates were respectively related to *Echinacea purpurea* and control group ($P < 0.05$). The highest amount of daily feed intake was observed in the group 4 and the lowest group was observed in control group (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the effect of plants and their different combinations on carcass and its parameters. According to the data, there are significant differences in the carcass characters ($p < 0.05$). The lowest percentage of abdominal fat was in the 3 group and the highest percentage

of breast was in the 4 group. There is an evidence to suggest that herbs, spices and various plant extracts have appetite and digestion stimulating properties and antimicrobial effects [8]. These results agree with the work of Lee *et al.* [9], who found that adding the herbal plant to the diet of broilers improved their growth performance. Aromatic plants and essential oil extracted from these plants have been used as alternatives to antibiotics. For this reason, these plants are becoming more important due to their antimicrobial effects and the stimulating effect on animal digestive system [6]. They are reported to stimulate secretion of digestive enzymes (lipase and amylase) and intestinal mucous in broilers, to stimulate feed digestion, to impair adhesion of pathogens and to stabilize microbial balance in the gut [5]. However, effects of phytochemical compounds and their active ingredients are not always observed in terms of performance parameters, as they also affect different metabolic pathways and activity of different body systems. Case *et al.* [11] reported that dietary carvacrol and thymol, at 150 ppm, did not influence BW gain of cockerels with initial weights of 126 g that were followed during a 21-d feeding trial. In a previous experiment with female broilers, Lee *et al.* [5] also found a lack of effect of herbal plant on growth performance and digestive enzyme activity when fed at a level of 100 ppm for a period of 6 wk. It was suggested that the antimicrobial activity of thymol may be masked by diet composition and/or environment, in that no effect of thymol on growth performance was seen when a well-balanced diet was fed and the birds were kept in a clean environment, as was done in this study. The effects of *Echinacea purpurea* and *Carum copticum* extract on blood biochemical parameters are presented in Table 4. There is no effect on blood biochemical parameters and immune system of broiler chickens.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical analyses composition of the starter and grower diets

Ingredients (g/kg)	1-2829-42	
Maize	557	300
Wheat	--	330
Soybean meal	370	300
Soybean oil	30	40
Fish meal	20	--
Limestone	10	--
Oyster shell	--	12
Dicalcium phosphate	5	15
Vitamin-mineral mix ²	5	5
dl-methionine	1	1
Sodium chloride	2	2
Vitamin E (mg/kg)	--	100
Zn	--	50
Analyzed chemical composition (g/kg)		
Dry matter	892.2	893.5
Crude protein	222.3	200.7
Fat	62.4	62.9
Fiber	36.1	35.6
Ash	61.7	57.0
Calcium	8.22	8.15
Phosphorus	5.48	5.57
Selenium (mg/kg)	0.53	0.58
ME by calculation (MJ/kg)	12.78	12.91

¹ starter diet fed to birds from 0 to 21 days. ² Provides per kilogram of diet: vitamin A, 9,000 IU; vitamin D3, 2,000 IU; vitamin E, 18 IU; vitamin B1, 1.8 mg; vitamin B2, 6.6 mg; vitamin B3, 10 mg; vitamin B5, 30 mg; vitamin B6, 3.0 mg; vitamin B9, 1 mg; vitamin B12, 1.5 mg; vitamin K3, 2 mg; vitamin H2, 0.01 mg; folic acid, 0.21 mg; nicotinic acid, 0.65 mg; biotin, 0.14 mg; choline chloride, 500 mg; Fe, 50 mg; Mn, 100 mg; Cu, 10 mg; Zn, 85 mg; I, 1 mg; Se, 0.2 mg.

Table 2: Effect of different combinations of herbal plants on performance of broiler chickens.

Treatment	Feed conversion ratio (g:g)	Food Intake (g)	Body weight gain (g)
P1	1/80±0/52 ^b	80/35±2/17 ^b	45/02±1/49 ^b
P2	1/63±0/ab36	82/56±1/ab96	46/86±1/68 ^b
P3	1/68±0/ab15	81/02±1/ab02	45/23±1/ab32
P4	1/66±0/ab16	82/67±2/ab09	46/98±2/06 ^{ab}

a-b: in each column the numbers which have different letters have significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3: Effect of different combinations of Treatments on quality of broiler chicken's carcass

P4	P3	P2	P1	Characters (%)
3/20±0/25 ^{ab}	3/15±0/21 ^{ab}	3/45±0/31 ^a	3/86±0/11 ^a	Abdominal Fat
3/05±0/13 ^{ab}	2/33±0/02 ^a	2/33±0/21 ^a	2/30±0/52 ^a	Gizzard
33/03±0/23 ^{ab}	31/97±0/45 ^a	32/68±0/71 ^{ab}	31/06±0/64	Breast
28/24±0/45	28/23±0/42	28/35±0/40	28/19±0/10	Lap
3/52±0/50 ^a	3/460/24	3/52±0/42	3/54±0/34	Liver

a-b: in each column the numbers which have different letters have significant differences ($p < 0.05$).

Table 4: Effect of different combinations of herbal plants on blood biochemical and immune system parameters of broiler chickens

Parameters	P1	P2	P3	P4	SEM
Heterophils to Lymphocytes ratio	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.03
Globulin	1.38	1.35	1.31	1.35	0.16
Albumin	1.40	1.49	1.51	1.42	0.20

^{a-c} Means with different subscripts in the same row differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

Table 5. The effect of different levels of herbal plants on blood biochemical of hens

Blood Parameter	Treatments				SEM
	P1	P2	P3	P4	
Glucose (mg/dl)	172.09	170.23	171.48	172.13	2.99
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	135.21	135.65	135.06	136.01	3.29
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	41.98	41.32	40.52	40.34	1.53
LDL	33.54	33.98	34.53	32.11	2.01
HDL	82.98	82.81	83.12	82.53	1.32

Means with different subscripts in the same column differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

REFERENCES

- [1] Mansoub, N.N. **2011** *Annals of Biological Research*, 2, 373-378.
- [2] Hammer, K.A., C.F. Carson and T.V. Riley, **1999**. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 86: 985-990.
- [3] Taylor, D.J., **2001**. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 42: (Suppl) 67-68.
- [4] Mansoub N.H., **2010**, *Global Veterinaria*. 247-250
- [5] Lee K.-W., Everts H., Kappert H.J., Frehner M., Losa R., Beynen A.C., **2003**. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 44, 450-457
- [6] Osman, N., G. Talat, C. Mehmet, D. Bestami and G. Simsek, **2005**. *Intern. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4: 879-884.
- [7] Horton, G. M. J., Fennell, M. J. and Prasad, B. M. **1991**, *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 71:939-942.

- [8] Jamroz, D., Orda, J., Kamel, C., Williczkiewicz, A., Wartecki, T. and Skorupin'Ska, J. **2003**. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.*, **12(3)**:583-596. 28. Jamroz, D., Williczkiewicz,
- [9] Kamel, C., **2001**. Tracing methods of action and roles of plant extracts in non-ruminants. In: *Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition* (eds.). Garns Worthy, P. C. and J. Wiseman, Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK.
- [10] Lee, K. W., H. Everts, H. J. Kappert, H. Wouterse, M. Frehner and A. C. Beynen, **2004**. *Intern. J. Poult. Sci.*, 3: 608- 612.
- [11]Case, G. L., L.He, H. Mo, and C. E. Elson. **1995**. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 44:450–457.